LISTING OF CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

- 1. (Previously Presented) Method for treatment of osteoporosis, comprising:
 exposing a patient to electromagnetic signals generated by pulsating, impulse-modulated
 direct current, having a frequency of 1 to 30 Hz and a field strength of 1 to 20 G; and
 administering Botulinum toxin as an adjuvant to the exposure of the patient to the
 electromagnetic signals.
- 2. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 1, characterised in that the modulation form is quasi-rectangular.
- 3. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 1, characterised in that the frequency is approximately 5 to 15 Hz.
- 4. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 1, characterised in that the field strength is approximately 10 to 15 G.
- 5. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 4, characterised in that the preferred field strength is approximately 12.5 G.

2

Appl. No. 10/551,262 Attorney Docket No. 26993U Response to Office Action mailed March 17, 2008

- 6. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 1, characterised in that the pulses are modulated.
- 7. (Previously Presented) Method for administering a treatment to a patient including administration of a neurotoxin, the method comprising:

providing a pharmaceutical composition comprising Botulinum toxin;

administering the Botulinum toxin intramuscularly, intravenously, or subcutaneously;

in combination with said administering the Botulinum toxin, exposing the patient to electromagnetic signals generated by pulsating, pulse-modulated, unidirectional, direct current, with frequency between 1 and 30 Hz and field strength, 1 to 20 G.

- 8. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 7, characterised in that the modulation form is quasi-rectangular.
- 9. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 7, characterised in that the frequency is approximately 5 to 15 Hz.
- 10. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 7, characterised in that the field strength is approximately 10 to 15 G.

3

Appl. No. 10/551,262 Attorney Docket No. 26993U Response to Office Action mailed March 17, 2008

- 11. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 10, characterised in that the field strength is approximately 12.5 G
- 12. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 7, characterised in that the pulses are modulated.
- 13. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 7, characterised by using a dose of Botulinum toxin Type A in the range of 20U to 600U, applied as a neurotoxin adjuvant to said exposing the patient to electromagnetic signals.
- 14. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 7, characterised by using Botulinum toxin Type A in the range of 50U to 300U, applied as a neurotoxin adjuvant to said exposing the patient to electromagnetic signals.
- 15. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 7, characterised by using Botulinum toxin Type B in the range 1U to 2000U, applied as a neurotoxin adjuvant to said exposing the patient to electromagnetic signals.
- 16. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 1, characterised by using a dose of Botulinum toxin Type A in the range of 20U to 600U, applied as a neurotoxin adjuvant to said exposing the patient to electromagnetic signals.

4

Appl. No. 10/551,262 Attorney Docket No. 26993U Response to Office Action mailed March 17, 2008

- 17. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 1, characterised by using a dose of Botulinum toxin Type A in the range of 50U to 300U, applied as a neurotoxin adjuvant to said exposing the patient to electromagnetic signals.
- 18. (Previously Presented) Method according to claim 1, characterised by using a dose of Botulinum toxin Type B in the range 1U to 2000U, applied as a neurotoxin adjuvant to said exposing the patient to electromagnetic signals.